

Equality, diversity, cohesion and integration impact assessment - organisational change impacting on the workforce

As a public authority we need to ensure that all organisational change arrangements impacting on the workforce have given proper consideration to equality, diversity, cohesion and integration. In all appropriate instances we will need to carry out an equality, diversity, cohesion and integration impact assessment.

This form:

- can be used to prompt discussion when carrying out your impact assessment
- should be completed either during the assessment process or following completion of the assessment
- should include a brief explanation where a section is not applicable

Directorate: Adults and Health	Service area: Care Delivery: Care Homes
Lead person: Vic Clarke	Contact number:
Date of the equality, diversity, cohesi	on and integration impact assessment:
10 April 2024	·
•	

2. Members of the assessment team:				
Name	Organisation	Role on assessment team For example, service user, manager of service, specialist		
Shona MacFarlane	LCC	Deputy Director Adult Social Care		
Karla Gallon	LCC	Head of Service, Care Delivery		
Vic Clarke	LCC	Project Manager		
Mark Grimes	LCC	HR Business Partner		

3. Summary of the organisational change arrangements to be assessed:

Proposals that Knowle Manor residential care home in Morley is closed and Dolphin Manor residential care home in Rothwell is repurposed.

This EDCI Impact Assessment focusses on staff at Knowle Manor only, as the repurposing of Dolphin manor will not affect staff at that location.

If a decision is made to close Knowle Manor, 23 Adult Social Care (ASC) and 7 Civic Enterprise Leeds (CEL) staff will be affected by the proposals and will need to be supported through the Council's Managing Staff Reduction (MSR) Policy.

There are staffing vacancies within the Care Delivery Service. The Directorate will also work with all affected staff to identify development and training opportunities which could assist staff to move into new or alternative roles within the Authority.

Continued formal consultation will take place under Employment Legislation with Trade Unions and staff and support would be provided for staff throughout the decommissioning process including identifying any opportunities for employment within the Council. It is hoped that this work will significantly minimise the risks to staff in terms of compulsory redundancy. Staff affected by these proposals would play an integral part in supporting residents, their family / carers with the transition to alternative provision.

This paper outlines the Equality Impact Assessment that has been carried out in the context of these proposals to ensure that they do not unfairly impact on people from the different equality groups. It has been completed as a parallel process to the consultation on the proposed changes.

4. Scope of the equality, diversity, cohesion and integration impact assessment			
Organisational change (please tick all appropriate boxes that apply below)			
Restructuring and assimilation			
Reorganisation and job redesign			
Flexible deployment			
Early leavers initiative			
Cessation of a service	X		
Downsizing of a service			
Switching			
Recruitment			
Equal pay considerations			
Job evaluation			

Any other organisational change arrangements	
Please provide detail:	
Knowle Manor	
Knowle Manor is a 29 bedded long-stay residential home situated in Morley. Knowle Manor is currently 11 (38%). The full annual net budget for Knowle N (net as the client income will follow the client). Closing this facility from 31st would save an estimated £100k by the end of 2024/25 as other one-off costs against these savings. Examples include alternative independent provision (on the care guarantee), additional social work resource required to support that any MSR considerations.	Manor is over £885k December 2024 s would be offset for those taking up
As outlined in the report to Executive Board in December 2023 the proposal the service, is based on national data which supports the view that people a to live independently and safely in their own homes and communities for lon residential homes is decreasing within Leeds and where this resource is req people's needs, there is a well-developed independent sector care home may older people's residential care and nursing homes across the city rated good 51. Leeds now has a range of services to meet the needs of people who require intervention to either support them to reach their optimum with therapeutic as support to return home or to undertake an assessment to support their longer CCG is now seeking to retender the Community Care Beds contract and Leeds Community Healthcare wants to be in a position to repurpose Dolphin this contract, along with its existing Community Care bed provision.	re being supported ger. The need for uired to meet arket. The number of d or outstanding is e some type of nd recovery focused er-term needs. The eds City Council with
4a. Do your proposals relate to: please tick the appropriate box below	
The whole service	
A specific part of the service	X
More than one service	
Please provide detail:	
The proposals relate to Knowle Manor long stay residential care in Morley at stay residential care in Rothwell.	nd Dolphin Manor long
There is one other local authority run long stay care homes in the city: Spring in addition to the available capacity in the independent sector homes.	g Gardens in Otley. This is

4b. Do your proposals relate to: please tick the appropriate box below	
Employment considerations only	X
Employment considerations and impact on service delivery	

Please provide detail:

As outlined above the affected staff would need to be supported through the MSR policy. The EDCI Impact Assessment available at Appendix 5a of the Executive Board Report details the identified impacts on wider service delivery and the mitigating actions associated with those impacts.

This EDCI Impact Assessment will consider and assess the impact of the options for:

Staff working at Knowle Manor.

This EDCI Impact Assessment is intended to support the decision-making process by:

- Identifying the potential positive and negative impact of any changes/ decisions on each protected characteristic.
- Setting out actions to minimise/ mitigate any adverse impacts.

Proposals have been subject to Equality Screening, and this concluded that the proposed options will potentially give rise to equality impacts of those affected, in particular:

- Age 19 (63%) are 50 years or older
- Sex 24 (80%) are women
- Race 4 (13%) identify as Ethnically Diverse
- Disability 4 (13%) have a disability

Should agreement be given to progress with the proposals, an implementation plan will be developed. This would show how any closures would be managed over the timescales and how staff are to be supported to safeguard human rights and minimise distress and maximise the benefits to individuals. This will relate particularly to the monitoring arrangements in relation to the proposed changes.

5. Fact finding – what do we already know

Make a note here of all information you'll be using to carry out this assessment. This could include previous consultation, involvement, research, results from perception surveys, equality monitoring and customer or staff feedback.

(priority should be given to equality, diversity, cohesion and integration related information)

Demographics (workforce focus)

The information is taken from the Skills for Care workforce intelligence data 2022-2023 (see Appendix 4).

Leeds has a total care workforce of 22,500 with 24,500 overall jobs, giving a vacancy number of 1900. Of those overall posts the Local Authority has 1500 posts. Leeds has 700 direct payment recipients who employ their own staff.

Use from October 2015 4

Just over half the workforce (54%) work full time, the rest at 46% work part time. This is different to the employment status of those in Leeds City Council where 62% work full time and £38% work part time.

82% of the workforce are female and 18% are male, this is reflective of the city overall. Of those working for the Local Authority 34% were 55 or older, with an average age of 47. This is slightly higher than the overall Leeds position where 25% were older than 55, and the average age

95% of people working for the Local authority were British, 2% as EU and 3% as non-EU. This is different to the overall Leeds demographic where 83% were British, 4% EU and 12% non-EU. In terms of ethnicity, 82% of people identified as white, 6% as Asian/Asian British, 9% as Black/African/Caribbean/Black British and 2% as mixed/multiple ethnic groups. This is different to the overall Leeds picture where 76% of people identified as white, 8% as Asian/Asian British, 12% as Black/African/Caribbean/Black British, 2% as mixed/multiple ethnic groups and 1% as other. 1

Research

was 43.

The Better Lives strategy is the Council's strategy for people with care and support needs. Previous reports to both Executive and Scrutiny Boards as part of the Better Lives Programme have documented how the aspirations of people with care and support needs have changed over time and that there is a strong and increasing desire to remain living in one's own home for as long as possible. As such a key aspect of the *Better Lives* strategy includes a continuous review of the Council's inhouse services for older people with the focus being on how they meet both current expectations and crucially how they can contribute to maximising people's independence, recovery and rehabilitation in the future.

Previous reviews as part of the Better Lives Programme phases 1, 2 and 3, have evidenced that demand for traditional forms of residential care for older people have continued to reduce with a switch to greater demand for models of care that provide housing-with-support such as extra care housing. This has meant that between 2011 and 2016 a number of in-house care homes closed.

In addition to the above, the EDCI considers data from the following:

- Key strategies and policies relating to the proposals, including the Better Lives Strategy, Health and Wellbeing Strategy, and the Best Council Plan 2020 – 2025.
- Quantitative information relating to the profile of current residents and carers.
- Quantitative information relating to the profile of alternative provision.

Consultation

As above, previous phases of the Better Lives Programme which have seen the closure of care homes have included detailed consultation and equality impact assessments, which have been considered as part of this assessment.

For these proposals, detailed consultation has also been carried out, and the Consultation Findings Report has been considered as part of this assessment, available as Appendix 3 of the Executive Board report.

12 of the affected staff members completed surveys, this is 40% of the total workforce

Meetings were held with all affected staff to advise them of the recommendation to start the period of consultation on the proposed closure, and to advise them of the decision. Regular staff meetings along with Trade Union meetings have taken place throughout this process and will continue to do so.

Staff raised issues related to the following key themes:

- Do not want the home to close
- Staff feel they deliver a good high-quality service

¹ My local area (skillsforcare.org.uk)

- Staff feel that the decision will be made to close the services
- Concern about the health and wellbeing of residents and the good relationships they have with them
- Concern about their own future work opportunities (employment, pensions, personal finances)
- Felt that money should be saved elsewhere
- Don't want to break up their staff team.

Staff have been involved throughout the consultation process and will continue to be supported throughout the implementation of any proposals agreed by Executive Board.

Are there any gaps in equality and diversity information Please provide detail:

Adult Social Care, where possible, will obtain equality information around the profile of each affected staff member and determine the likely impacts given that profile. Due regard will be taken of this information during the implementation phase, should these proposals be agreed. A review of the impact will also be undertaken post implementation, considering any impact on equality groups.

Action required:

As above subject to a decision to close the two care homes:

- Obtain equality information around the profile of each affected staff member and determine the likely impacts given that profile.
- Review any identified impacts post implementation.

6. Wider involvement – have you involved groups of people who are most likely to be affected or interested				
X Yes	No			

Please provide detail:

Detailed consultation on the proposals took place between Monday 8th January 2024 - Friday 29th March 2024. The aim of the consultation was to consult with those directly affected and as a priority the existing residents of care homes and their families and carers. Detailed consultation also took place with affected staff and Trade Unions, and with related stakeholders within the locality, including elected members and partner organisations and with the wider local community of the affected areas.

As part of the consultation a questionnaire has been used to capture responses to the proposals. The aim was to:

- Capture people's responses to the proposed changes
- Determine the impact on individuals and how this might be reduced as plans are developed.

The findings from the consultation are outlined in full in the Consultation Findings Report appended to the Executive Board Report.

Action required:

Ongoing engagement with all affected stakeholders will continue to take place throughout the process. This will include:

- Inform all affected stakeholders of the recommendations in the report to Executive Board following consultation.
- Inform all affected stakeholders of the outcome of the Executive Board decision.

Should the decision be taken to approve the recommended closure of Knowle Manor and the repurposing of Dolphin Manor, this will also include:

- On-going engagement with service users / families and carers as part of Assessment and Transitions including reviews post transition to alternative provision.
- Consult with Trade Unions, Hold Preference Meetings, make Deployment Decisions, Staff notified of matches and meeting held to discuss options through the Council's MSR Policy.
- Other Employment Opportunities within LCC Continual refreshing of information, signposting
 of other employment opportunities / roles to staff at risk
- Ongoing tracker updates to wider stakeholders and elected members as appropriate.

1. Will may be anected by time activity	7. Who may be affected by	by this activity	?
---	---------------------------	------------------	---

please tick all relevant and significant equality characteristics, stakeholders and barriers that apply to your strategy, policy, service or function

Equality characteristics

X	Age	X	Carers	X	Disability
X	Gender reassignment	X	Race	X	Religion or Belief
X	Sex (male or female)	X	Sexual orientation	on	
X	Other				

(Other can include – marriage and civil partnership, pregnancy and maternity, and those areas that impact on or relate to equality: tackling poverty and improving health and well-being)

Please specify:

The following provides an overview of the relevance of the proposals to the equality characteristics and where identified, action to mitigate any impact should the proposals be approved.

Age: 63% of the affected staff are 50 years or older.

Action to Mitigate:

• Any impacts relating to the Age equality characteristic will be considered as part of individual staff consultation meetings.

Carers: No specific issues have been identified in relation to staff who are carers. This is an identified gap in equality information in relation to staff, and national demographics show that given the age profile of the staff affected, a proportion are likely to be carers.

Action to Mitigate:

Use from October 2015 7

- Obtain equality information around the profile of each affected staff member and determine the likely impacts given that profile.
- Review any identified impacts post implementation.

Disability: 4% of the affected staff have a disability.

Action to Mitigate:

 Any impacts relating to the Age equality characteristic will be considered as part of individual staff consultation meetings.

Sex: Statistical data of the affected staff shows that 80% are women.

Action to mitigate:

 Any impacts relating to the Sex equality characteristic will be considered as part of individual staff consultation meetings.

Race: 4% of affected staff are Ethnically Diverse.

Action to mitigate:

• Any impacts relating to the Race equality characteristic will be considered as part of individual staff consultation meetings.

Religion or belief: No specific issues have been identified in relation to religion or belief.

Action to mitigate:

• Any impacts relating to the Religion or Belief equality characteristic will be considered as part of individual staff consultation meetings.

Sexual orientation: No specific issues have been identified in relation to sexual orientation. The staff data shows that for a number of staff this information is not specified. This is an identified gap in equality information.

Action to mitigate:

• Any impacts relating to the Sexual Orientation equality characteristic will be considered as part of individual staff consultation meetings.

Gender reassignment: No specific issues have been identified in relation to gender reassignment. There is not data on this characteristic, which is an identified gap in equality information.

Action to mitigate:

• Any impacts relating to the Gender Reassignment equality characteristic will be considered as part of individual staff consultation meetings.

Socio-economic Status: The socioeconomic status of those affected is not known. There is not data on this characteristic, which is an identified gap in equality information.

Action to mitigate:

• Any impacts relating to the Socio-economic Status equality characteristic will be considered as part of individual staff consultation meetings.

Financial Exclusion (poverty): The financial exclusion status of those affected is not known. There is not data on this characteristic, which is an identified gap in equality information.

Action to mitigate:

• Any impacts relating to the Financial Exclusion (poverty) equality characteristic will be considered as part of individual staff consultation meetings.

Use from October 2015 8

Unemployment: All staff are employed in the services affected by the proposals. There is a risk of staff redundancy and staff not being able to secure alternative employment.

Action to mitigate:

• Staff affected by the proposals and will need to be supported through the MSR Policy.

There are staffing vacancies within the Care Delivery Service. The Directorate will also work with all affected staff to identify development and training opportunities which could assist staff to move into new or alternative roles within the Authority.

Continued formal consultation will take place under Employment Legislation with Trade Unions and staff and support would be provided for staff throughout the decommissioning process including identifying any opportunities for employment within the Council. It is hoped that this work will significantly minimise the risks to staff in terms of compulsory redundancy.

Residential Location: No specific issues have been identified in relation to residential location.

Action to mitigate:

 Distance to be travelled to work will be considered as part of individual staff consultation meetings.

Family Background: The family background status of those affected is not known. There is not data on this characteristic, which is an identified gap in equality information.

Action to mitigate:

 Any impacts relating to the Family Background equality characteristic will be considered as part of individual staff consultation meetings.

Skills or Education: The skills or education status of those affected is known by their line manager. It is recognised that many job opportunities now require a level of digital skill to be able to identify and apply for jobs online.

Action to mitigate:

- Skills and Education status will be considered as part of everyone's preference meetings, deployment decisions, job matching and signposting of other employment opportunities / roles to staff through the MSR Policy.
- Ensure staff are supported with the skills needed for identifying and applying for alternative employment through online channels, taking into consideration levels of digital inclusion.

Stakeholde	ers				
s	Services users	X	Employees	X	Trade Unions
F	Partners		Members		Suppliers
	Other please specify				
Potential b	arriers				
		_	_		
X	Built environment	7	Location of	premis	es and services

X Information and communication	X Customer care
X Timing	X Stereotypes and assumptions
X Cost	X Consultation and involvement
Specific barriers to the or	ganisational change proposals

Please specify

Built environment: Some staff may find a new built environment more difficult to cope with both physically and mentally in terms of changes to their usual work routine or workplace needs. As an example, a new building may have more stairs or less natural light.

Mitigating Action:

- An assessment of every member of staff affected will be undertaken in accordance with the council's MSR Policy.
- Ensure staff receive full induction at new workplace.
- Ensure staff receive a DSE Assessment Review prior to any move to identify any reasonable adjustments or actions that need consideration in finding alternative employment.
- Ensure that a DSE Review takes place at the new workplace to consider any reasonable adjustments or actions in their new work environment.

Location of premises: The alternative workplaces staff move to may have an impact on those who have worked at the care homes for a considerable length of time, and who have long established links to the local area. Staff may have strong professional friendships and be fearful of the impact of the proposed changes on their lives, and whether they are able to maintain the relationships they have established. It may also impact on staff's work / life balance due to any potential greater time commuting to / from work.

Mitigating Action:

- Focus on local alternative employment options where this is identified as a preference and consider work / life balance.
- If additional travel expenses are incurred the council will consider excess travel payments.

Information and Communication: Some staff may not be able to easily access online methods of communication. Many jobs are now only advertised via online channels.

Mitigating Action:

- Clear and timely communication to all staff affected, particularly regarding information about alternative employment opportunities.
- Support staff with digital skills training opportunities to maximise their ability to access online job opportunities.
- Clear HR and Organisational Development support to staff who want to develop skills in another field.

Customer Care and staff training: Staff will play a lead role in understanding the concerns of

residents and service users, helping them understand the proposed changes and helping them make the right decisions for themselves. Staff will continue to play a crucial role in supporting residents, service users and their family / carers through the transition process at a time when they themselves are experiencing uncertainty and change. Some staff may find this difficult to cope with.

Mitigating Action:

 Provide appropriate support to staff through awareness raising events, meetings, and management support.

Timing: Many residents and their family / carers said during the consultation that they did not want to move to a new care home at this point in their lives. The move to alternative provision is a process that some staff may feel takes too long or too short a time according to their needs.

Mitigating Action:

- An assessment of every affected staff member will be undertaken and actions to minimise stress factors will be put in place.
- Ensure that nothing happens suddenly or unexpectantly and that moves to alternative employment take place in a timescale that those affected are comfortable with in accordance with the HR processes and procedures.

Cost: Some staff are carers and a change in where they work may affect their caring responsibilities which could incur additional costs. If staff need to travel further to get to / from work this could also cost them more.

Mitigating action:

- Focus on local, flexible, alternative employment options where this is identified as a preference and consider methods of ensuring continued work / life balance.
- If additional travel expenses are incurred the council will consider excess travel payments.

Consultation and Involvement: Some staff may not be able to easily access online methods of communication.

Mitigating Action:

• Clear and timely communication to all staff affected, particularly regarding information about alternative employment opportunities, including face to face meetings and direct support from HR and Organisational Development colleagues.

Stereotypes and assumptions: Assumptions may be made in connection with staff's needs and job preferences.

Mitigating Action:

• An assessment is carried out with each affected staff member to ensure that current, individual needs are properly understood.

Financial exclusion: See Cost above.

Employment and training: Some staff may feel that travelling to an alternative workplace may impact on their working hours or training opportunities.

Mitigating Action:

- An assessment is carried out with each affected staff member to ensure that current, individual needs are properly understood.
- Support staff to identify their skills, knowledge and experience strengths and development areas, and where additional training may be helpful in them seeking alternative employment.
- Support staff to access those training opportunities.

8. Positive and negative impact Think about what you are assessing (scope), the fact finding information, the potential positive and negative impact on equality characteristics, stakeholders and the effect of the barriers
8a. Positive impact:
 Should the proposals to close Knowle Manor and repurpose Dolphin Manor be approved this may result in the following positive impacts: Staff affected may identify alternative employment opportunities that better meets their needs. As an example, someone who had been working in a care home may move to providing at home care and support services with a reduced commute time and find that more suitable to their needs. Staff may find alternative employment that provides greater job satisfaction; some may be looking for a change in job roles. Closing the care home and deploying the staff into other services could reduce overall staffing vacancies. If staff move to care home employment in the independent sector, they will take their high-quality knowledge, skills, and experience with them which would be disseminated.
Action required:
 Monitor the transfer of staff and employment outcomes. Ongoing consultation with staff and Trade Unions through the MSR Policy with a particular focus on employment within LCC in suitable roles.
8b. Negative impact:
The consultation findings report along with this assessment details several potential negative impacts which could affect protected characteristics, along with proposed mitigations.
The themes of these impacts relate to people's health and wellbeing, quality, finance, locality, strategic and methodology and timing impacts.
The risk of redundancy would potentially impact across these themes.
Action required:
See EDCI Organisational Change Action Plan below.
9. Will this activity promote strong and positive relationships between the groups or

Use from October 2015

Yes

No

The proposals won't proactively promote relationships between groups and communities however, equally it should not have a detrimental impact to those relationships as staff will be supported to find options that meet their needs through the MSR Policy.
Action required:
Support those affected to find suitable options to meet their work / life balance needs in line with the MSR policy.
10. Does this activity bring groups or communities into increased contact with
each other (for example in schools, neighbourhood or the workplace)?
Yes X No
Please provide detail:
The proposed closure of the two care homes would not bring groups / communities into increased contact with one another.
Action required:
None.
11. Could this activity be perceived as benefiting one group at the expense of another?
X Yes No
Please provide detail:
The proposals could be perceived as benefitting those who work in preventative services over those working in care home services, because if the homes were not to close, a reduction in prevention services would be the alternative to finding the required financial savings.
Action required:
Consider the knowledge, skills and experience of affected staff and support staff through the MSR Policy.

Please provide detail:

12. Equality, diversity, cohesion and integration action plan (insert all your actions from your assessment here, set timescales, measures and identify a lead person for each action)

Action	Timescale	Measure	Lead person
Obtain equality information around the profile of each affected staff member and determine the likely impacts given that profile. Review any identified impacts post transfer to alternative employment.	In line with Implementation Timeline.	Affected staff supported through the MSR Policy. Review of each affected staff member post transition considers any identified impacts.	Programme Team
Ongoing clear and timely engagement with all affected stakeholders will continue to take place throughout the process.	In line with Implementation Timeline.	Letters and briefings to those affected at key stages of the process. Enquiries to consultation via various methods of engagement.	Programme Team
Consult with Trade Unions, Hold Preference Meetings, make Deployment Decisions, Staff notified of matches and meeting held to discuss options through the MSR Policy. Other Employment Opportunities within LCC – Continual refreshing of information, signposting of other employment opportunities / roles to staff.	In line with Implementation Timeline.	Number of successful jobs matches. Number of staff who secure alternative employment. Number of staff supported through the MSR Policy.	Programme Team
A reasonable distance to travel to work will be considered as part any assessment of staff's needs.	In line with Implementation Timeline.	Number of staff travelling further to get to/from work. Staff satisfaction regarding their commute in their new job.	Programme Team

Action	Timescale	Measure	Lead person
Ensure staff are supported with the skills needed for identifying and applying for alternative employment through online channels, taking into consideration levels of digital inclusion.	In line with Implementation Timeline.	Number of people supported in identifying and applying for alternative employment through online channels, taking into consideration levels of digital inclusion.	Programme Team
An assessment of every member of staff affected will be undertaken in accordance with the council's MSR Policy.	In line with Implementation Timeline.	Number of assessments carried out.	Programme Team
Ensure staff receive full induction at new workplace.	In line with Implementation Timeline	Number of staff induction plans (where stay within Council employment).	Programme Team
Ensure staff receive a DSE Assessment Review prior to and post any move (where stay within Council employment) to identify any reasonable adjustments or actions that need consideration in finding alternative employment.	In line with Implementation Timeline.	Number of DSE Assessments completed and reviewed (where stay within Council employment).	Programme Team
Focus on local alternative employment options where this is identified as a preference and consider work / life balance options to meet needs identified.	In line with Implementation Timeline.	Staff satisfaction with outcome.	Programme Team
Provide appropriate support to staff through awareness raising events, meetings, and management support to minimise stress related factors.	In line with Implementation Timeline.	A supportive, well managed and coordinated transition of staff to alternative employment. Minimised risk to health and well-being of staff brought on by move.	Programme Team

Action	Timescale	Measure	Lead person
		The number of staff securing alternative employment that meets their needs. The number of people satisfied with their new job.	
Support staff to identify their skills, knowledge and experience strengths and development areas, and where additional training may be helpful in them seeking alternative employment. Support staff to access those training opportunities.	In line with Implementation Timeline.	Number of staff attending training opportunities.	Programme Team
Focus on local, flexible, alternative employment options where this is identified as a preference and consider methods of ensuring continued work / life balance. If additional travel expenses are incurred the council will consider excess travel payments.	In line with Implementation Timeline.	Number of staff receiving excess travel payments.	Programme Team

13. Governance, ownership and approval State here who has approved the actions and outcomes from the equality, diversity,						
cohesion and integration impact assessment						
Name	Job title	Date				
Shona McFarlane	Deputy Director Social Work and SC Service					
14. Monitoring progress for equality, diversity, cohesion and integration actions (please tick)						
As part of service planning performance monitoring						
X As part of project monitoring						
Update report will be agreed and provided to the appropriate board Please specify which board						
Other (please specify)						
15. Publishing						
If this equality, diversity, cohesion and integration impact assessment relates to a key delegated decision , executive board , full council or a significant operational decision a copy should be emailed to corporate governance and will be published along with the relevant report.						
A copy of all other equality and diversity, cohesion and integration impact assessment's should be sent to equalityteam@leeds.gov.uk . For record keeping purposes it will be kept on file (but not published).						
Date impact assessment c	ompleted					
If relates to a key decision – date sent to corporate governance						
Any other decision – date se	ent to equality team					